IN RE CHILD OF CASEY M.

Submitted on Briefs October 21, 2020 Decided October 29, 2020

Panel: MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, HUMPHREY, HORTON, and CONNORS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Casey M. appeals from a judgment entered by the District Court (Bangor, *Campbell*, *J.*) terminating his parental rights to his child pursuant to 22 M.R.S. § 4055(1)(A)(1)(a), (B)(2)(a), (b)(i)-(ii) (2020). We conclude that on this record the court did not clearly err in finding at least one ground of parental unfitness by clear and convincing evidence, nor did the court abuse its discretion in concluding by clear and convincing evidence that termination was in the child's best interest. *See In re Children of Jason C.*, 2020 ME 86, ¶¶ 7, 10, --- A.3d ---; *In re Children of Bradford W.*, 2019 ME 15, ¶ 4, 200 A.3d 1256.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

¹ The mother consented to a termination of her parental rights. *See* 22 M.R.S. § 4055(1)(B)(1) (2020).

Following the procedure we have outlined, see In re Children of Bradford W., 2019 ME 15, \P 5, 200 A.3d 1256, the father's appellate counsel filed a brief stating that counsel does not believe there are any arguable issues of merit for appeal. Counsel then moved for and obtained an order allowing the father to personally file a supplemental brief and provided the order to the father. The father has not filed a separate brief.

Christopher S. Berryment, Esq., Mexico, for appellant father

With leave of the Court, the Department of Health and Human Services did not file a brief

Bangor District Court docket number PC-2018-69 FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY