

DEBORAH L. (HEYDORN) MOORE

v.

FREDERICK A. HEYDORN

Submitted on Briefs October 21, 2020
Decided October 29, 2020

Panel: MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, HUMPHREY, HORTON, and CONNORS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Frederick A. Heydorn appeals from a divorce judgment entered by the District Court (Dover-Foxcroft, *Stitham, J.*), arguing that the court erred in its award of spousal support and attorney fees to Deborah L. (Heydorn) Moore. We conclude that the court did not err or abuse its discretion in determining the amount of spousal support payable to Moore, *see* 19-A M.R.S. § 951-A(5) (2020); *Haskell v. Haskell*, 2017 ME 91, ¶ 16, 160 A.3d 1176; *see also* M.R. Civ. P. 52; *Sulikowski v. Sulikowski*, 2019 ME 143, ¶ 14, 216 A.3d 893; *Harper v. Harper*, 2017 ME 171, ¶ 12, 169 A.3d 385; and because Heydorn does not contend that there was any additional evidence that he would have offered—or argument that he would have made—if he had received earlier notice that attorney fees were at issue, we will not vacate the award of attorney fees that the court, in its discretion, ordered Heydorn to pay after holding a hearing on the issue, *see* M.R. Civ. P. 61; *see also* 19-A M.R.S. § 105(1) (2020); *Verite v. Verite*, 2016 ME 164, ¶ 17, 151 A.3d 1; *Lewis v. Lewis*, 2003 ME 26, ¶ 10, 817 A.2d 867.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Gene Sullivan Jr., Esq., Bangor, for appellant Frederick A. Heydorn

Kimberly C. Cavanaugh, Esq., Dover-Foxcroft, for appellee Deborah L. Moore

Dover-Foxcroft District Court docket number FM-2019-19
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY