

PATRICIA J. (AYOTTE) MADORE

v.

PIERRE A. AYOTTE

Submitted on Briefs June 25, 2020
Decided July 2, 2020

Panel: MEAD, GORMAN, HUMPHREY, HORTON, and CONNORS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Pierre A. Ayotte appeals from two judgments entered by the District Court (Skowhegan, *Benson, J.*) following a consolidated hearing. Contrary to Ayotte's first contention, the court did not clearly err in its valuation of the marital home given Patricia J. (Ayotte) Madore's testimony concerning its value, and consequently the court's use of that value when distributing the marital property in its divorce judgment did not constitute an abuse of discretion. *See* 19-A M.R.S. § 953 (2020); *Dow v. Billing*, 2020 ME 10, ¶ 26, 224 A.3d 244; *Berntsen v. Berntsen*, 2017 ME 111, ¶ 13, 163 A.3d 820; *Wandishin v. Wandishin*, 2009 ME 73, ¶ 13, 976 A.2d 949.

Furthermore, contrary to Ayotte's second contention, on this record the court did not abuse its discretion in granting Madore's motion to extend an active protection from abuse order. *See* 19-A M.R.S. § 4007(2) (2020); *Doe v. Tierney*, 2018 ME 101, ¶¶ 12, 15, 189 A.3d 756.

The entry is:

Judgments affirmed.

Luis Dennis Carrillo, Esq., Cloutier Carrillo, Augusta, for appellant Pierre A. Ayotte

Patricia J. Madore did not file a brief

Skowhegan District Court docket number FM-2019-159 and PA-2019-122
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY