

STATE OF MAINE

v.

PRESCOTT MCCURDY

Submitted on Briefs February 26, 2020
Decided March 5, 2020

Panel: MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, and CONNORS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Prescott McCurdy appeals from a judgment of conviction of obscuring a license plate (Class E), 29-A M.R.S. § 2104(2) (2018), and operating an unregistered vehicle (Class E), 29-A M.R.S. § 351(1)(B) (2018), entered in the trial court (Cumberland County, *Warren, J.*), following a jury trial. McCurdy raises a number of arguments on appeal that are underdeveloped and unsupported by legal authority. We decline to address these arguments. *See State v. Salisbury*, 2017 ME 215, ¶ 2, 173 A.3d 146.

As for McCurdy's principal arguments, we reject each in turn and affirm the trial court's judgment. First, contrary to McCurdy's contention, the Maine courts have jurisdiction over him. *See State v. Pelletier*, 2015 ME 129, ¶ 3, 125 A.3d 354. Second, 29-A M.R.S. § 351(1)(B), requiring vehicle registration, is a constitutional exercise of Maine's police-power authority. *See State v. Cason*, 2012 ME 91, ¶ 3, 46 A.3d 1141. Third, 29-A M.R.S. § 2104(2) is not unconstitutionally vague, does not violate principles of equal protection as applied to McCurdy, and the subsection's title does not prejudice him. *See State v. Police Aboda*, 2010 ME 125, ¶ 14, 8 A.3d 719; *Brann v. State*, 424 A.2d 699,

703 (Me. 1981); 1 M.R.S. § 71(10) (2018). Finally, the court's instructions to the jury were not in error. *See State v. Solomon*, 2015 ME 96, ¶ 12, 120 A.3d 661.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Prescott McCurdy, appellant pro se

Jonathan Sahrbeck, District Attorney, Amanda Doherty, Asst. Dist. Atty., and Rosemarie Guimaraes, Stud. Atty., Cumberland County District Attorney's Office, Portland, for appellee State of Maine

Cumberland County Unified Criminal Docket docket number CR-2018-20749
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY