

PAT DOE (CE)

v.

JAMIE J. JORDAN

Submitted on Briefs December 18, 2020
Decided December 29, 2020

Panel: MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, HORTON, and CONNORS, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Jamie J. Jordan appeals from a judgment of the District Court (Newport, *Budd, J.*) entering a protection from abuse order for Pat Doe on Doe’s complaint against Jordan. *See* 19-A M.R.S. § 4007 (2020). Contrary to Jordan’s contentions, the court did not hinder Jordan’s opportunity to be heard, *see Guardianship & Conservatorship of Jones*, 2017 ME 125, ¶ 19, 164 A.3d 969 (“The essence of due process is notice and an opportunity to be heard.” (quotation marks omitted)); *Daud v. Abdullahi*, 2015 ME 48, ¶ 8 n.2, 115 A.3d 77. Further, the court did not err or abuse its discretion when it found Doe credible and admitted certain witness testimony. *Weinstein v. Sanborn*, 1999 ME 181, ¶ 3, 741 A.2d 459; *State v. Kimball*, 2016 ME 75, ¶¶ 16, 18, 139 A.3d 914. Nor did the court clearly err in finding abuse pursuant to 19-A M.R.S. § 4002(1)(E) (2020), *see Sulikowski v. Sulikowski*, 2019 ME 143, ¶ 10, 216 A.3d 893; *Walton v. Ireland*, 2014 ME 130, ¶ 22, 104 A.3d 883.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Jamie Jordan, appellant pro se

Pat Doe did not file a brief

Newport District Court docket number PA-2020-22
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY