

JONATHAN A.N. GRAY

v.

RACHEL M. MARTIN

Submitted on Briefs January 23, 2020
Decided January 30, 2020

Panel: ALEXANDER, MEAD, JABAR, and HUMPHREY, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Jonathan A.N. Gray appeals from the July 30, 2019, order of the District Court (Portland, *Kelly, J.*) denying his post-judgment motions for additional findings of fact, M.R. Civ. P. 52(b), and to alter or amend the judgment, M.R. Civ. P. 59(e), following the court's entry of judgment in the parties' parental rights and responsibilities action, 19-A M.R.S. § 1653 (2018).

Gray failed to provide a transcript of the relevant hearing, *see* M.R. App. P. 5(b)(2)(A); *Hutchinson v. Bruyere*, 2015 ME 6, ¶ 7, 111 A.3d 36, and the appendix to his brief does not contain several documents that must be included, such as the trial court docket entries, the complaint, and Gray's post-judgment motions. *See* M.R. App. P. 8(d)(2), (4), (5). The appendix also does not contain the child support affidavits or the financial statements of the parties, both of which are required when child support is at issue in the appeal.¹ *See* M.R. App. P. 8(e)(6).

¹ Additionally, the appendix filed by Gray is not consecutively paginated, M.R. App. P. 8(k)(1), does not show the Law Court docket number on the cover page, *id.*, is not printed on both sides of the paper, M.R. App. P. 8(k)(2), and is stapled rather than spiral bound, M.R. App. P. 8(k)(3).

Gray’s “material failure to comply with the basic requirements applicable to the submission of a proper record and appendix prevents proper appellate review.” *Hutchinson*, 2015 ME 16, ¶ 11, 111 A.3d 36; *see also id.* ¶¶ 5-11 n.5. We therefore dismiss the appeal without reaching the merits of Gray’s arguments.

The entry is:

Appeal dismissed.

Jonathan A.N. Gray, appellant pro se

Rachel M. Martin, appellee pro se

Portland District Court docket number FM-2018-757
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY