

EVA SZILLERY

v.

MAINE MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE ALLIANCE

Submitted on Briefs May 30, 2019

Decided June 6, 2019

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, GORMAN, JABAR, HJELM, and HUMPHREY, JJ.

#### MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Eva Szillery appeals from a judgment of the Superior Court (Kennebec County, *Murphy, J.*) granting Maine Mathematics and Science Alliance’s motion to dismiss pursuant to M.R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). Szillery argues that the trial court erred when it determined that her amended breach of contract complaint contained no claim upon which relief could be granted. After reviewing the legal sufficiency of Szillery’s complaint de novo, we agree with the trial court that even when viewed in a light most favorable to her, Szillery’s complaint does not allege facts sufficient to demonstrate an actionable breach of contract claim. *See Lawson v. Willis*, 2019 ME 36, ¶ 15, 204 A.3d 133 (reiterating our standard of review for an order dismissing a complaint pursuant to M.R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6)); *Burns v. Architectural Doors & Windows*, 2011 ME 61, ¶ 17, 19 A.3d 823 (stating that dismissal is appropriate when a “party’s complaint has failed to allege facts that, if proved, would satisfy the elements of the cause of action”); *see also* M.R. Civ. P. 8 reporter’s notes (“The intent and effect of [M.R. Civ. P. 8] is to permit a claim to be stated in general terms, but the pleader must nevertheless supply adequate factual information to disclose the basis of his [or her] claim for relief.”).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

Eva Szillery, appellant pro se

Matthew J. LaMourie, Esq., Preti Flaherty Beliveau & Pachios LLP, Portland, for  
appellee Maine Mathematics and Science Alliance

Kennebec County Superior Court docket number CV-2017-178  
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY