## DAWN LEVASSEUR

v.

## KEVIN L. TESSIER

Submitted on Briefs February 20, 2019 Decided February 28, 2019

Panel: ALEXANDER, MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, HJELM, and HUMPHREY, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Kevin L. Tessier appeals from a judgment of the District Court (Skowhegan, *Benson, J.*) entering a protection from harassment order on behalf of Dawn LeVasseur against Tessier.<sup>1</sup> *See* 5 M.R.S. § 4655 (2018). Contrary to Tessier's contentions, competent evidence in the record supports the court's factual findings and the court did not abuse its discretion in its management of the testimonial hearing. *See* M.R. Evid. 611(a); *Zablotny v. State Bd. of Nursing*, 2017 ME 29, ¶ 18, 156 A.3d 126; *Gordon v. Cheskin*, 2013 ME 113, ¶ 12, 82 A.3d 1221; *In re A.M.*, 2012 ME 118, ¶ 29, 55 A.3d 463; *Dolliver v. Dolliver*, 2001 ME 144, ¶ 10, 782 A.2d 316; Alexander, *Maine Appellate Practice* § 419(b) at 352 (5th ed. 2018).

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cognizant of the federal Violence Against Women Act, we note that this matter does not involve domestic violence or stalking and therefore name LeVasseur in the decision. *See* 18 U.S.C.S. §§ 2261, 2261A, 2265(d)(3), 2266 (LEXIS through P.L. 115-391).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Kevin Tessier, appellant pro se

Amy Dieterich, Esq., Skelton, Taintor & Abbott, Auburn, for appellee Dawn LeVasseur

Skowhegan District Court docket number PA-2018-130 For Clerk Reference Only