

STATE OF MAINE

v.

RAYMOND J. SUDDUTH

Submitted on Briefs May 31, 2018
Decided June 7, 2018

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, GORMAN, JABAR, HJELM, and HUMPHREY, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Raymond J. Sudduth appeals from a judgment of conviction for operating under the influence (Class D), 29-A M.R.S. § 2411(1-A)(B)(1) (2017), entered by the court (Kennebec County, *Murphy, J.*) following his conditional guilty plea, *see* M.R.U. Crim. P. 11(a)(2). Sudduth contends that the court (*Marden, J.*) erred in denying his motion to suppress. Contrary to Sudduth's contention, there was competent evidence in the record from which the court could have found that the police received a report that the occupants of the SUV were intoxicated. *See State v. Cooper*, 2017 ME 4, ¶ 2, 153 A.3d 759. Also contrary to Sudduth's contention, the specific information that the police learned from a reliable, identifiable informant was sufficient to generate a reasonable, articulable suspicion of a crime. *See State v. Sampson*, 669 A.2d 1326, 1328 (Me. 1996); *State v. Vaughan*, 2009 ME 63, ¶ 12, 974 A.2d 930; 29-A M.R.S. § 2411(1-A)(A)(1).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Rory A. McNamara, Esq., Drake Law, LLC, Berwick, for appellant Raymond J. Sudduth

Maeghan Maloney, District Attorney, and Tyler J. LeClair, Asst. Dist. Atty.,
Prosecutorial District IV, Augusta, for appellee State of Maine

Kennebec County Unified Criminal Docket docket number CR-2017-842
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY