

EDMUND J. DUNNE

v.

ELIZABETH A. (DUNNE) WOODBURY

Submitted on Briefs February 26, 2018
Decided March 15, 2018

Panel: ALEXANDER, MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, HJELM, and HUMPHREY, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Edmund J. Dunne appeals from a judgment of the District Court (York, *Cantara, J.*) denying his motion to amend or make additional findings of fact regarding its divorce judgment on his complaint against Elizabeth A. (Dunne) Woodbury. M.R. Civ. P. 52(b), 59(e). Contrary to Dunne's contentions, the court did not err in its classification or valuation of any of the contested property nor did it abuse its discretion by distributing the property at issue. *See* 19-A M.R.S. § 953(1), (2)(E) (2017); *Berntsen v. Berntsen*, 2017 ME 111, ¶¶ 13-14, 163 A.3d 820; *Violette v. Violette*, 2015 ME 97, ¶¶ 21-28, 120 A.3d 667; *Skibinski v. Skibinski*, 2009 ME 13, ¶ 6, 964 A.2d 641. In addition, competent evidence supports the court's finding of Woodbury's income, *see Morin v. Lundrigan*, 2007 ME 37, ¶ 7, 916 A.2d 202, and the court acted well within its discretion by determining that Dunne is not entitled to spousal support, *see* 19-A M.R.S. § 951-A(1), (2)(B), (5) (2017); *Jandreau v. LaChance*, 2015 ME 66, ¶¶ 14-15, 116 A.3d 1273.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Gregory O. McCullough, Esq., Sanford Law Offices, Sanford, for appellant
Edmund J. Dunne

Gregory J. Orso, Esq., Orso Law, P.A., York, for appellee Elizabeth A. Woodbury

York District Court docket number FM-2016-91
For Clerk Reference Only