

DANNY C. BROWN II

v.

JASON A. BEAN

Submitted on Briefs February 26, 2018  
Decided March 6, 2018

Panel: ALEXANDER, MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, HJELM, and HUMPHREY, JJ.

#### MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Jason A. Bean appeals from a protection from harassment judgment in which the District Court (Biddeford, *Foster, J.*) found that he had harassed Danny C. Brown II, and ordered him not to have contact with Brown. *See* 5 M.R.S. §§ 4651(2), 4654(1) (2017). Contrary to Bean’s contentions, the court did not commit clear error in finding, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Bean harassed Brown by sending a series of emails meant to “belittle and intimidate” Brown and by behaving in an intimidating manner toward Brown during a few direct contacts, thereby satisfying the definition of harassment pursuant to 5 M.R.S. § 4651(2)(A). *See Young v. Lagasse*, 2016 ME 96, ¶ 8, 143 A.3d 131; *Cates v. Donahue*, 2007 ME 38, ¶¶ 10-11, 916 A.2d 941.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

Gregory O. McCullough, Esq., Sanford Law Offices, Sanford, for appellant Jason A. Bean

Danny C. Brown, appellee pro se

Biddeford District Court docket number PA-2017-236  
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY