

BELLE NG

v.

EUGEN BOCA

Submitted on Briefs May 25, 2017  
Decided June 1, 2017

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, GORMAN, JABAR, HJELM, and HUMPHREY, JJ.

#### MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Eugen Boca appeals from a judgment entered by the District Court (Portland, *Woodman, J.*) extending an existing order of protection against him on behalf of Belle Ng for five years. Contrary to Boca's contentions, there was competent evidence in the record to support findings that Boca abused Ng and that an extended order of protection was necessary to protect her from the threat of continuing harm related to the abuse underlying the initial protection order. 19-A M.R.S. § 4007(2) (2016); M.R. Civ. P. 52; *Gehrke v. Gehrke*, 2015 ME 58, ¶ 8, 115 A.3d 1252; *Dyer v. Dyer*, 2010 ME 105, ¶ 11, 5 A.3d 1049; *Smith v. Hawthorne*, 2002 ME 149, ¶¶ 15-16, 804 A.2d 1133. The court did not abuse its discretion in extending the protection order for five years. *Dyer*, 2010 ME 105, ¶¶ 11-13, 5 A.3d 1049.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

Eugen Boca, appellant, pro se

Melissa L. Martin, Esq., Pine Tree Legal Assistance, Portland, for appellee Belle  
Ng

Portland District Court docket number PA-2014-99  
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY