RAYMOND E. CRONKITE

v.

RICHARD OLSON, ESQ. et al.

Submitted on Briefs April 27, 2017 Decided May 11, 2017

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, HJELM, and HUMPHREY, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Raymond E. Cronkite appeals from a judgment of the Superior Court (York County, O'Neil, J.) granting the defendants' motion to dismiss Cronkite's complaint. The defendants, two attorneys and their law firm, had represented a creditor who sought to foreclose a property owned by Cronkite. After he lost the foreclosure suit, Cronkite sued the attorneys and their firm, seeking compensatory and punitive damages for claims of intentional misrepresentation, malpractice, breach of fiduciary duty, and intentional interference with prospective economic advantage. The attorneys for the parties opposing Cronkite owed no fiduciary duty to Cronkite in the foreclosure action. See Ramsey v. Baxter Title Co., 2012 ME 113, ¶ 11, 54 A.3d 710. We therefore agree with the court that Cronkite's complaint fails to state a claim for which relief may be granted, and affirm the court's dismissal pursuant to M.R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). See Shaw v. S. Aroostook Cmty. Sch. Dist., 683 A.2d 502, 503 (Me. 1996).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Raymond Cronkite, appellant pro se

David P. Very, Esq., Norman, Hanson & DeTroy, LLC, Portland, for appellees Richard Olson, Esq., David Perkins, Esq., and Perkins Olson, P.A.

York County Superior Court docket number CV-2015-82 For Clerk Reference Only