

PIERRETTE S. STEVENSON

v.

MARK H. LAWLER

Submitted on Briefs April 27, 2017
Decided May 9, 2017

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, HJELM, and HUMPHREY, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Mark H. Lawler appeals from a judgment of divorce entered by the District Court (Portland, *Darvin, J.*) based upon a written settlement agreement reached by the parties before trial. Because the court did not clearly err in finding that the agreement reflected the terms of the parties' stipulated settlement agreement, nor did the court abuse its discretion in enforcing the terms of that agreement, we affirm the judgment.¹ *See Toffling v. Toffling*, 2008 ME 90, ¶ 9, 953 A.2d 375; *Webb v. Webb*, 2005 ME 91, ¶ 4, 878 A.2d 522; *Page v. Page*, 671 A.2d 956, 957-58 (Me. 1996).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

¹ On March 24, 2017, after the parties were notified this appeal would be considered on the briefs, Lawler filed a motion requesting that it be set for oral argument. *See* M.R. App. P. 11(g)(1). We conclude that oral argument is unnecessary to decide the issues raised in this appeal, and thus we deny the motion.

David M. Hirshon, Esq., and Marshall J. Tinkle, Esq., Hirshon Law Group, PC,
Freeport, for appellant Mark H. Lawler

Ronald P. Lebel, Esq., and Amy Dieterich, Esq., Skelton Taintor & Abbott,
Auburn, for appellee Pierrette S. Stevenson

Portland District Court docket number FM-2015-235
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY