

JOHN R. KOWALSKI

v.

HEATHER E. KOWALSKI

Submitted on Briefs February 23, 2017  
Decided March 2, 2017

Panel: ALEXANDER, MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, HJELM, and HUMPHREY, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

John R. Kowalski appeals from a judgment of the District Court (Portland, *Montgomery, J.*) clarifying and making further findings as to its decision modifying his spousal support and child support obligations in connection with his 2013 divorce from Heather E. Kowalski. Contrary to John's contentions, we discern no error or abuse of discretion by the court's award of spousal support for the period from February of 2014 to January of 2015, or from January of 2015 forward.<sup>1</sup> See 19-A M.R.S. § 951-A (2016); *Wandishin v. Wandishin*, 2009 ME 73, ¶ 14, 976 A.2d 949. The court also did not err or abuse its discretion in calculating a downward deviation in John's child support obligation based on his anticipated transportation costs in visiting his and Heather's minor children. See 19-A M.R.S. § 2007(3)(P) (2016); *Dickens v. Boddy*, 2015 ME 81, ¶ 12, 119 A.3d 722

---

<sup>1</sup> Although John's issue statement mentions only the spousal support awarded as of January 26, 2016, the remainder of his argument regards spousal support awarded as of January 26, 2015. We therefore considered the spousal support awarded from January of 2015 forward, in addition to that awarded for February of 2014 to January of 2015.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

David J. Van Dyke, Esq., Lynch & Van Dyke, P.A., Lewiston, for appellant John R. Kowalski

David S. Bischoff, Esq., and Andrea S. Manthorne, Esq., Roach Hewitt Ruprecht Sanchez & Mischoff, P.C., Portland, for appellee Heather E. Kowalski

Portland District Court docket number FM-2012-1133  
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY