

CHRISTOPHER McDONALD

v.

AUBURN-ROSE McDONALD

Submitted on Briefs May 26, 2016
Decided June 2, 2016

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, GORMAN, JABAR, HJELM, and HUMPHREY, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Christopher McDonald appeals from an order entered by the District Court (Bangor, *Stitham, J.*) establishing parental rights and responsibilities in anticipation of a divorce judgment. *See* 19-A M.R.S. §§ 904, 1653 (2015); M.R. Civ. P. 115(b). Christopher argues that the court’s written findings include several factual errors and that the court’s order constitutes an abuse of discretion. Because Christopher did not provide us with a transcript of the proceedings, he failed to supply an adequate record for review. Thus, “we are bound to accept the court’s factual findings and to assume that they are supported by sufficient competent evidence in the record.” *Springer v. Springer*, 2009 ME 118, ¶ 8, 984 A.2d 828; *see also* M.R. App. P. 5(a), (b)(2); *Kilton v. Kilton*, 2016 ME 63, ¶ 5, --- A.3d ---. Furthermore, assuming the court’s factual findings are supported, we discern no abuse of discretion in the court’s order awarding primary residence of the children to Auburn-Rose and granting Christopher significant contact with the children based on Auburn-Rose’s work schedule. *See* 19-A M.R.S. § 1653(3)(E), (H) (2015); *Cloutier v. Lear*, 1997 ME 35, ¶¶ 8-9, 691 A.2d 660.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

On the briefs:

Dawn M. Corbett, Esq., Law Office of Dawn M. Corbett, PA,
Ellsworth, for appellant Christopher McDonald

John D. Bunker, Esq., Paine, Lynch & Harris, PA, Bangor, for
appellee Auburn-Rose McDonald