

STATE OF MAINE

v.

STEVEN D. BRADBURY

Submitted on Briefs April 21, 2016
Decided May 3, 2016

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, MEAD, GORMAN, HJELM, and
HUMPHREY, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Steven D. Bradbury appeals from a judgment of conviction of criminal OUI, 29-A M.R.S. § 2411(1-A)(A) (2015), entered upon a jury verdict in the Unified Criminal Docket (Franklin County, *Stokes, J.*). Bradbury argues that the court erred in admitting statements that Bradbury made to the police officer because due to Bradbury's level of intoxication, the statements were involuntary. Because Bradbury did not raise the issue of the voluntariness of the statements through a motion to suppress or during trial, our review is limited to obvious error. *See State v. Pabon*, 2011 ME 100, ¶¶ 18-29, 28 A.3d 1147. The record supports the conclusion that the statements were the result of Bradbury's exercise of his own free will and rational intellect; thus, the court did not err in allowing the statements in evidence through the police officer's testimony. *See State v. Rees*, 2000 ME 55, ¶¶ 2-4, 748 A.2d 976; *State v. Finson*, 447 A.2d 788, 792 (Me. 1982).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

On the briefs:

Jamesa J. Drake, Esq., Drake Law, LLC, Auburn, for appellant
Steven D. Bradbury

Andrew S. Robinson, District Attorney, and Joshua W.
Robbins, Asst. Dist. Atty., Office of the District Attorney,
Farmington, for appellee State of Maine

Franklin County Unified Criminal Docket docket number CR-2015-251
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY