

SUZANNE (SCHECTER) McKENZIE

v.

DANIEL P. SCHECTER

Argued March 1, 2016
Decided March 15, 2016

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR,
HJELM, and HUMPHREY, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Daniel P. Schecter appeals from a judgment of the District Court (Rockland, *Sparaco, J.*) denying his motion for relief from the financial provisions of a divorce judgment entered with respect to him and Suzanne (Schechter) McKenzie after a hearing that he failed to attend. *See* M.R. Civ. P. 60(b)(1), (6). Given Schecter's conduct during the proceedings and his failure to provide concrete information or evidence justifying his neglect of the proceedings, the court did not abuse its discretion in denying the motion for relief from judgment and subsequently filed motion for reconsideration without holding a hearing on either motion. *See Ezell v. Lawless*, 2008 ME 139, ¶¶ 19, 28-29, 955 A.2d 202; *Provencher v. Provencher*, 2008 ME 12, ¶ 9, 938 A.2d 821; *Shaw v. Shaw*, 2003 ME 153, ¶ 7, 839 A.2d 714; *Merrill/Norstar Bank v. Sites*, 592 A.2d 1077, 1078 (Me. 1991).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

On the briefs and at oral argument:

Steven C. Peterson, Esq., West Rockport, for appellant Daniel P. Schechter

Christopher K. MacLean, Esq., Elliott & MacLean, LLP, Camden, for appellee Suzanne (Schechter) McKenzie

Rockland District Court docket number FM-2014-47
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY