

SETH L. PRUZANSKY

v.

ERIN C. CHARLETT

Submitted on Briefs January 28, 2016
Decided February 4, 2016

Panel: ALEXANDER, MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, HJELM, and HUMPHREY,
JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Seth L. Pruzansky appeals from a judgment entered in the District Court (Lewiston, *Lawrence, J.*) granting his motion to enforce a provision of a judgment of parental rights and responsibilities providing him with reasonable rights of contact with his child.

Because at the hearing Pruzansky made clear that he would be amenable to terms of parent-child contact that the court ultimately ordered but that he now challenges on appeal, he has not preserved his argument for appellate review. *See Dube v. Dube*, 2016 ME 15, ¶ 9 n.6, --- A.3d ---. Further, the court did not abuse its discretion by establishing a schedule of supervised contact with additional contact if the parties agree. *See* 19-A M.R.S. § 1653(3) (2015); *Dube*, 2016 ME 15, ¶ 5, --- A.3d ---.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

On the briefs:

David C. Webb, Esq., Brunswick, for appellant Seth L. Pruzansky

Henry W. Griffin, Esq., Lewiston, for appellee Erin C. Charlett

Lewiston District Court docket number FM-2012-692
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY