

JENNIFER L. DODGE

v.

GARY A. STACEY

Argued October 27, 2016  
Decided November 3, 2016

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, HJELM, and HUMPHREY, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Gary A. Stacey appeals from a judgment of the District Court (Bangor, *Lucy, J.*) denying his motion to modify spousal support. Contrary to Stacey's arguments on appeal, the District Court did not clearly err in finding that he failed to demonstrate a substantial change in circumstances affecting his ability to pay spousal support or Jennifer L. Dodge's need for spousal support. *Pettinelli v. Yost*, 2007 ME 121, ¶ 11, 930 A.2d 1074. Further, because the court found that no modification of the spousal support award was warranted, it did not need to consider or analyze the factors set forth in 19-A M.R.S. § 951-A(5)(A)-(Q) (2015). *McLeod v. Macaul*, 2016 ME 76, ¶ 8, 139 A.3d 920. Finally, given the record before us on appeal, the District Court did not abuse its discretion in denying the motion to modify. *Voter v. Voter*, 2015 ME 11, ¶ 18, 109 A.3d 626.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

**On the briefs:**

Anthony A. Trask, Esq., Rudman Winchell, Bangor, for  
appellant Gary A. Stacey

Joseph W. Baiungo, Esq., Belfast, for appellee Jennifer L.  
Dodge

**At oral argument:**

Joshua A. Randlett, Esq., Rudman Winchell, Bangor, for  
appellant Gary A. Stacey

Joseph W. Baiungo, Esq., for appellee Jennifer L. Dodge