

STATE OF MAINE

v.

JOHNATHAN M. LIBBY

Submitted on Briefs September 28, 2015
Decided October 15, 2015

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, and HUMPHREY, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Johnathan M. Libby appeals from a judgment of the District Court (South Paris, *L. Walker, J.*) imposing a fine for operating a vehicle without a certificate of inspection, 29-A M.R.S. § 1768(7) (2014). Contrary to Libby’s contention, section 1768(7) is not unconstitutional as applied, and his due process rights were not violated by the court’s refusal to announce its basis for personal jurisdiction at the outset of the hearing. *See State v. Gilman*, 2010 ME 35, ¶ 13, 993 A.2d 14; *Mahaney v. State*, 610 A.2d 738, 742 (Me. 1992); *State v. Quinnam*, 367 A.2d 1032, 1033 (Me. 1977).

Contrary to Libby’s argument, it is well settled that a state may, as a valid exercise of its police power, place limitations on the operation of motor vehicles on the state’s roads. *See State v. Pelletier*, 2015 ME 129, ¶ 3, --- A.3d --- (per curiam) (providing an “unambiguous declaration that Maine’s courts have jurisdiction to enforce Maine’s laws against those physically present within the state’s geographic bounds and [reaffirming] the constitutionality of Maine’s law requiring all drivers to hold a valid driver’s license”); *see also Hendrick v. Maryland*, 235 U.S. 610, 622 (1915); *State v. Mayo*, 106 Me. 62, 66, 75 A. 295, 297 (1909).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

On the briefs:

Johnathan M. Libby, appellant pro se

Andrew S. Robinson, District Attorney, and Joseph M. O'Connor, Asst. Dist. Atty., Office of the District Attorney, South Paris, for appellee State of Maine