

IN RE D.G. et al.

Argued May 13, 2015

Decided June 9, 2015

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, MEAD, GORMAN, and JABAR, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

The father of D.G., C.Z., C.Z., C.Z., and L.Z. appeals from a jeopardy order entered against him in the District Court (Biddeford, *Foster, J.*), and from the court's denial of his motion for relief from that judgment pursuant to M.R. Civ. P. 60(b). Contrary to the father's contentions, competent evidence in the record supported the court's factual findings by a preponderance of the evidence, that the father placed the children in jeopardy, and that the court did not abuse its discretion in denying the father's Rule 60(b) motion. *Cf.* 22 M.R.S. § 4002(6) (2014); *In re Danielle B.*, 685 A.2d 770, 771 (Me. 1996).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

On the briefs:

Philip Notis, Esq., South Portland, for appellant father

Janet T. Mills, Attorney General, and Meghan Szylvian, Asst.
Atty. Gen., Office of the Attorney General, Augusta, for
appellee Department of Health and Human Services

At oral argument:

Philip Notis, Esq., for appellant father

Meghan Szylvian, Asst. Atty. Gen., for appellee Department of
Health and Human Services

Biddeford District Court docket numbers PC-2014-7, 8
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY