

ESTATE OF CAROL A. BEDARD

Argued April 9, 2015
Decided April 16, 2015

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, MEAD, GORMAN, JABAR, and
HJELM, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Ethan B. Corliss appeals from a judgment of the York County Probate Court (*Longley, J.*)¹ determining the distribution of certain assets of Carol A. Bedard's intestate estate. Contrary to Corliss's contention, and given Corliss's failure to seek additional findings of fact or conclusions of law, the court's supported finding that no agreement existed between Corliss and Bedard is dispositive of Corliss's quantum meruit claim against the Estate.² See *Cummings v. Bean*, 2004 ME 93, ¶ 9, 853 A.2d 221; *Paffhausen v. Balano*, 1998 ME 47, ¶¶ 8, 9, 708 A.2d 269; *Estate of Boothby*, 532 A.2d 1007, 1010 (Me. 1987).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

¹ Pursuant to 4 M.R.S. § 306 (2014), the matter was heard on interchange by the probate judge of the Waldo County Probate Court.

² We decline the request of Bedard's children—Deborah Bedard, Michelle Niday, and Michael Bedard—to sanction Corliss pursuant to M.R. App. P. 13(f).

On the briefs:

Robert J. Waldron, Esq., Wolfeboro, New Hampshire, and
Robert F. Corliss, Esq., Dedham, Massachusetts, for appellant
Ethan B. Corliss

Susan B. Driscoll, Esq., Bergen & Parkinson, LLC, Saco, for
appellees Deborah Bedard, Michelle Niday, and Michael
Bedard

At oral argument:

Robert F. Corliss, Esq., for appellant Ethan B. Corliss

Susan B. Driscoll, Esq., for appellees Deborah Bedard,
Michelle Niday, and Michael Bedard