## WELLS FARGO BANK N.A.

V.

## RICHARD N. FOLEY

Submitted on Briefs May 29, 2014 Decided June 5, 2014

Panel: ALEXANDER, SILVER, GORMAN, and JABAR, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Richard N. Foley appeals from a judgment of foreclosure and sale issued by the District Court (York, *Cantara*, *J*.). Contrary to Foley's contentions, the court did not err in admitting various documents offered into evidence by the Wells Fargo Bank. *See* M.R. Evid. 803(6), 902; *Bank of Me. v. Hatch*, 2012 ME 35, ¶ 6, 38 A.3d 1260 (setting forth the standard of review regarding the admissibility of business records); *Beneficial Me., Inc. v. Carter*, 2011 ME 77, ¶¶ 9, 13-14, 25 A.3d 96; *HSBC Mortg. Servs., Inc. v. Murphy*, 2011 ME 59, ¶ 10, 19 A.3d 815.

Furthermore, the court did not err in concluding that Wells Fargo Bank was entitled to foreclose. *See* 11 M.R.S. §§ 1-1201(21)(a), 3-1301(1) (2013); 14 M.R.S. §§ 6111, 6321 (2013); *Deutsche Bank Nat. Trust Co. v. Wilk*, 2013 ME 79, ¶ 10, 76 A.3d 363; *Chase Home Fin. LLC v. Higgins*, 2009 ME 136, ¶ 11, 985 A.2d 508 (setting forth the basic information a mortgagor must prove in a foreclosure action).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

## On the briefs:

Richard N. Foley, pro se appellant

Adam J. Shub, Esq., and Jonathan G. Mermin, Esq., Preti Flaherty Beliveau & Pachios, LLP, Portland, for appellee Wells Fargo Bank N.A.

York District Court docket number RE-2012-41 For Clerk reference only