

STATE OF MAINE

v.

JOSHUA M. DREW

Submitted on Briefs February 27, 2014
Decided March 25, 2014

Panel: ALEXANDER, LEVY, SILVER, MEAD, GORMAN, and JABAR, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Joshua M. Drew appeals from judgments of conviction entered by the trial court (*O'Neil, J.*) following a jury verdict finding him guilty of domestic violence assault (Class C), 17-A M.R.S. § 207-A(1)(B)(1) (2013), and obstructing report of crime or injury (Class D), 17-A M.R.S. § 758(1)(A) (2013); and following Drew's guilty pleas to five counts of violation of condition of release (Class C), 15 M.R.S. § 1092(1)(B) (2013). Contrary to Drew's contention, the evidence when viewed in the light most favorable to the State was sufficient for the jury to rationally find beyond a reasonable doubt every element of the crimes for which he was convicted at trial. *See State v. Kepner*, 2013 ME 90, ¶ 7, 81 A.3d 354; *State v. Brockelbank*, 2011 ME 118, ¶ 14, 33 A.3d 925 ("The weight to be given to the evidence and the determination of witness credibility are the exclusive province of the jury." (quotation marks omitted)).

Furthermore, given the circumstances of this case, a probation condition on the convictions for violating a condition of release requiring Drew to complete a certified batterers' intervention program was reasonably related to the offense, and therefore was not illegal. *See* 17-A M.R.S. § 1204(1), (2-A)(M) (2013); *State v. Nolan*, 2000 ME 165, ¶ 9, 759 A.2d 721 ("[p]robation conditions must . . . be reasonably related to the crimes for which the probationer has been convicted").

The entry is:

Judgments affirmed.

On the briefs:

Lauren Wille, Esq., DeGrinney Law Offices, Portland, for appellant Joshua Drew

Kathryn Loftus Slattery, District Attorney, and Anne Marie Pazar, Esq.,
Prosecutorial District #1, Alfred, for appellee State of Maine