

AMANDA L. LEBEL et al.

v.

AUDREY J. SPENCE

Submitted on Briefs February 11, 2014  
Decided February 27, 2014

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, LEVY, SILVER, MEAD,  
GORMAN, and JABAR, JJ.

#### MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Audrey J. Spence appeals from a permanent protection from harassment order entered in the District Court (Portland, *Fowle, J.*) pursuant to 5 M.R.S. § 4655 (2013). Because no party requested findings of fact pursuant to M.R. Civ. P. 52(a), we must infer that the court found all the facts necessary to support its judgment if those inferred findings are supported by the evidence in the record. *See Sutherland v. Morrill*, 2008 ME 6, ¶¶ 4-5, 940 A.2d 192. Viewing the evidence and all inferences from the perspective most favorable to the court's judgment, as we must, we conclude that the evidence in the record is sufficient to support the court's finding of harassment. *See Cates v. Donahue*, 2007 ME 38, ¶ 9, 916 A.2d 941.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

**On the brief:**

Audrey Spence, pro se appellant

Appellee did not file a brief

Portland District Court docket number PA-2012-1021  
FOR CLERKS REFERENCE ONLY