WALTER THURSTON

V.

W.C. WEATHERBEE AND SONS, INC., et al.

Argued December 17, 2013 Decided October 16, 2014

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, SILVER, MEAD, and JABAR, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Walter Thurston appeals from a judgment of the Superior Court (Penobscot County, Anderson, J.) entered as a matter of law on Thurston's claim alleging the negligence of Wayne Hartt, d/b/a A-1 Electric, and from a judgment entered upon a jury's verdict in favor of W.C. Weatherbee and Sons, Inc., on Thurston's premises liability claim. A careful review of the record demonstrates that, contrary to Thurston's contentions, the court did not err in granting to Hartt a judgment as a matter of law because expert testimony is required to establish the standard of care of a professional electrician and no exception to that requirement applied, see Garland v. Roy, 2009 ME 86, ¶ 17, 976 A.2d 940; Graves v. S.E. Downey Registered Land Surveyor, P.A., 2005 ME 116, ¶ 10, 885 A.2d 779; Lewis v. Knowlton, 1997 ME 12, ¶ 6, 688 A.2d 912; Seven Tree Manor, Inc. v. Kallberg, 1997 ME 10, ¶ 6, 688 A.2d 916; the court did not err or abuse its discretion in its evidentiary rulings regarding the admissibility of expert testimony, see Tolliver v. Dep't of Transp., 2008 ME 83, ¶ 35, 948 A.2d 1223; Levesque v. Chan, 569 A.2d 600, 601 (Me. 1990), the admissibility of a memorandum prepared in advance of a lease, see O'Brien v. J.G. White & Co., 105 Me. 308, 311-12, 74 A. 721 (1909); cf. Brown Dev. Corp. v. Hemond, 2008 ME 146, ¶ 13, 956 A.2d 104, the admissibility of evidence of Thurston's bankruptcy, see Camp Takajo, Inc. v. SimplexGrinnell, L.P., 2008 ME 153, ¶ 14, 957 A.2d 68; Kaechele v. Kenyon Oil

Co., 2000 ME 39, ¶ 6, 747 A.2d 167; Nyzio v. Vaillancourt, 382 A.2d 856, 860-61 (Me. 1978), or the admissibility of evidence that an unlicensed electrician had done unknown work on the building in the past, see M.R. Evid. 401, 402. Reviewing the jury instructions in their entirety, we discern no prejudicial error in the court's instructions regarding W.C. Weatherbee's potential liability. See Merrill v. Sugarloaf Mountain Corp., 2000 ME 16, ¶ 14, 745 A.2d 378.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

On the briefs:

Charles E. Gilbert, III, Esq., and Julie D. Farr, Esq., Gilbert & Breif, P.A., Bangor, for appellant Walter Thurston

Elizabeth A. Germani, Esq., Germani Martemucci Riggle & Hill, Portland, for appellee Wayne Hartt

Christopher C. Taintor, Esq., Norman, Hanson & DeTroy, LLC, Portland, and J. William Druary, Jr., Esq., Marden, Dubord, Bernier & Stevens, P.A., LLC, Waterville, for appellee W.C. Weatherbee & Sons, Inc.

At oral argument:

Charles E. Gilbert, III, Esq., for appellant Walter Thurston

Elizabeth A. Germani, Esq., for appellee Wayne Hartt

Christopher C. Taintor, Esq., for appellee W.C. Weatherbee & Sons, Inc.