

STATE OF MAINE

v.

MICHAEL R. LANAHAN

Argued January 15, 2014  
Decided January 30, 2014

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, LEVY, SILVER, MEAD,  
GORMAN, and JABAR, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Michael R. Lanahan appeals from a judgment of conviction of one count of domestic violence assault, (Class D), 17-A M.R.S. § 207-A(1)(A) (2013) entered in the trial court (*Campbell, J.*) following a jury trial. Contrary to Lanahan's contention, the trial court did not commit obvious error when it failed to inquire into the nature and extent of conversations a discharged juror had with other jurors and a court officer regarding her personal concerns with her religious beliefs. *See State v. Pabon*, 2011 ME 100, ¶ 29, 28 A.3d 1147 (setting forth requirements for obvious error); *State v. Nigro*, 2011 ME 81, ¶ 14 & n.5, 24 A.3d 1283 (concluding that trial court's failure to inquire into jurors' potential bias did not constitute obvious error when there was no indication that prejudice complained of existed).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

**On the briefs:**

Jamesa J. Drake, Esq., Drake Law, LLC, Auburn, for appellant  
Michael R. Lanahan

R. Christopher Almy, District Attorney, and Tracy Collins  
Lacher, Asst. Dist. Atty., Prosecutorial District V, Bangor, for  
appellee State of Maine

**At oral argument:**

Jamesa Drake, Esq., for appellant Michael R. Lanahan

Tracy Collins Lacher, Asst. Dist. Atty. for appellee State of  
Maine