

DONALD CARLE et al.

v.

BRYAN CARLE et al.

Submitted on Briefs July 30, 2014

Decided August 5, 2014

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, SILVER, MEAD, GORMAN, and  
JABAR, JJ.

#### MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Donald and Anne Carle appeal from the judgment of the District Court (Springvale, *Cantara, J.*) dismissing their petition for grandparent visitation. Contrary to their argument, the court did not err when it found that they had failed to establish that it is more likely than not that there is a sufficient existing relationship between the grandparents and their grandchildren or that sufficient efforts have been made by the grandparents to establish such a relationship. *See Malenko v. Handrahan*, 2009 ME 96, ¶ 37, 979 A.2d 1269 (discussing the standard of review).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

**On the briefs:**

Angela M. Thibodeau, Esq. Holmes Legal Group, LLC, Wells, for  
appellants Donald & Anne Carle

Bryan P. & Holly Carle, pro se appellees

Springvale District Court docket number FM-2013-429  
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY