

STATE OF MAINE

v.

RONALD E. ROBINSON

Submitted on Briefs June 26, 2013

Decided July 2, 2013

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, SILVER, MEAD, GORMAN, and
JABAR, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Ronald E. Robinson appeals from a judgment of conviction of operating under the influence, Class D, 29-A M.R.S. § 2411(1-A)(B)(1) (2012), and violation of a condition of release, Class E, 15 M.R.S. § 1092(1)(A) (2012), entered in the trial court (*R. Murray, J.*) after a jury trial. Contrary to Robinson’s contentions on appeal, there was sufficient evidence that Robinson’s mental or physical faculties were impaired by drugs to sustain the jury’s verdict. *See State v. Williams*, 2012 ME 63, ¶ 49, 52 A.3d 911 (noting that the evidence is viewed in the light most favorable to the State, and that the fact-finder is free to accept or reject testimony and draw all reasonable inferences from the evidence); *State v. Soucy*, 2012 ME 16, ¶¶ 10-14, 36 A.3d 910 (stating that, in an OUI case, the State must prove only that defendant drove while impaired “however slightly, or to any extent” by intoxicants, and that “[i]t is no defense that the defendant [was] under the influence of prescription drugs, even if taken as prescribed”).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

On the briefs:

James M. Mason, Esq., Brunswick, for appellant Ronald E. Robinson

Carletta M. Bassano, District Attorney, and Chris Ka Sin Chu, Asst. Dist. Atty., Prosecutorial District VII, Machias, for appellee State of Maine

Washington County Superior Court docket number CR-2012-181
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY