

IN RE B.B. et al.

Submitted on Briefs May 30, 2013

Decided June 4, 2013

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, LEVY, SILVER, and JABAR, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

The mother of B.B. and A.B. appeals from a judgment entered in the District Court (Rumford, *Carlson, J.*) finding that A.B. is in circumstances of jeopardy and that the Department of Health and Human Services may cease reunification efforts for both children pursuant to 22 M.R.S. § 4041(2)(A-2)(1) (2012). We decline to review the court's judgment ceasing the Department's statutory obligation to provide reunification and rehabilitation services because it is interlocutory. *See* 22 M.R.S. § 4006 (2012); *In re B.C.*, 2012 ME 140, ¶¶ 12-15, 58 A.3d 1118. Additionally, the court did not err in finding that A.B. was in circumstances of jeopardy with her mother. *See, e.g., In re B.C.*, 2012 ME 140, ¶ 11, 58 A.3d 1118 (affirming the court's finding of jeopardy where competent evidence in the record "c[ould] rationally be understood to establish as more likely than not that the child was in circumstances of jeopardy to his health and welfare" (quotation marks omitted)).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

On the briefs:

Christopher S. Berryment, Esq., Mexico, for appellant mother

Janet T. Mills, Attorney General, and Nora Sosnoff, Asst. Atty. Gen.,
Augusta for appellee Department of Health & Human Services