## IN RE A.M. et al.

## Submitted on Briefs April 25, 2013 Decided April 30, 2013

## Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, SILVER, MEAD, and GORMAN, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

The father of two children with the initials A.M. appeals from a jeopardy order entered by the District Court (Lewiston, *Beliveau, J.*) finding that the children would be in jeopardy within the meaning of 22 M.R.S. § 4002(6) (2012) if placed with the father, and awarding continued custody of the children to the Department of Health and Human Services. We conclude that the court's factual findings are not clearly erroneous, and, contrary to the father's contention, those findings "can rationally be understood to establish as more likely than not that the child[ren] [were] in circumstances of jeopardy to [their] health and welfare." *In re B.C.*, 2012 ME 140, ¶ 11, 58 A.3d 1118 (quotation marks omitted); *see* 22 M.R.S. § 4035(2) (2012).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

On the briefs:

Richard Charest, Esq., Auburn, for appellant father

Janet T. Mills, Attorney General, and Nora Sosnoff, Asst. Atty. Gen., Office of the Attorney General, Augusta, for appellee Department of Health and Human Services