

IN RE C.M.

Submitted on Briefs February 26, 2013
Decided March 5, 2013

Panel: ALEXANDER, LEVY, SILVER, MEAD, GORMAN, and JABAR, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

The father of C.M. appeals from a judgment of the District Court (Springvale, *Foster, J.*) terminating his parental rights to C.M. pursuant to 22 M.R.S. § 4055 (2012).

Contrary to the father's contention, the court did not violate his right to due process by terminating his parental rights without holding a case management conference. *See In re Alexander D.*, 1998 ME 207, ¶¶ 13-15, 716 A.2d 222.

Furthermore, there was clear and convincing evidence to support the court's finding that the father met the unfitness requirement of 22 M.R.S. § 4055(1)(B)(2)(b). *See In re Annie A.*, 2001 ME 105, ¶¶ 18-20, 774 A.2d 378. Similarly, there was clear and convincing evidence to support the court's findings that termination of the father's parental rights was in C.M.'s best interests pursuant to 22 M.R.S. § 4055(1)(B)(2)(a), and that a permanency guardianship was not in C.M.'s best interests. *See In re Marcus S.*, 2007 ME 24, ¶ 10, 916 A.2d 225; *In re Annie A.*, 2001 ME 105, ¶¶ 18-19, 774 A.2d 378; *In re David G.*, 659 A.2d 859, 862 (Me. 1995).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

On the briefs:

Thomas P. Elias, Esq., Elias Law Offices, York, for appellant father

William J. Schneider, Attorney General, Hannah McMullen, Stud. Atty., and
Nora Sosnoff, Asst. Atty. Gen., Augusta, for appellee Department of Health
and Human Services