

ANNIELU (SANTINI) DEWITT

v.

MICHAEL C. SANTINI

Submitted on Briefs October 31, 2013  
Decided November 12, 2013

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, LEVY, SILVER, MEAD, and  
JABAR, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Michael C. Santini appeals from a judgment entered in the District Court (York, *Janelle, J.*) on his motion to enforce a 2011 divorce judgment that was entered upon the parties' stipulation. Contrary to Santini's contentions, (1) on the evidentiary record before it, the court was not compelled to find either that the sale price of the parties' real estate was diminished because of terms that AnnieLu (Santini) DeWitt negotiated to retain certain fixtures and reside in the house for a short term after the closing or that DeWitt received value through the transaction that should have been shared with Santini, *see Efstathiou v. Efstathiou*, 2009 ME 107, ¶ 10, 982 A.2d 339; *see also Hawksley v. Gerow*, 2011 ME 3, ¶ 4, 10 A.3d 715; *Smith v. Padolko*, 2008 ME 56, ¶ 14, 955 A.2d 740; *Gray v. Gray*, 609 A.2d 694, 697 (Me. 1992); and (2) nothing in the divorce judgment required DeWitt to sell the Mercedes in her possession to pay Santini's debts, *see Corcoran v. Marie*, 2011 ME 14, ¶ 16, 12 A.3d 71.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

**On the briefs:**

Matthew W. Howell, Esq., Clark & Howell, LLC, York, for appellant  
Michael C. Santini

Dori F. Chadbourne, Esq., Chadbourne Law Offices, P.A., Portland, for  
appellee Annielu D. (Santini) Dewitt

York District Court docket number FM-2010-167  
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY