## VIRGINIA (HOHN) ALDRICH

V.

## RICHARD HOHN

Submitted on Briefs May 24, 2012 Decided June 12, 2012

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, SILVER, MEAD, GORMAN, and JABAR, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Richard Hohn appeals from a judgment of the District Court (York, *Janelle, J.*) that modified his child support obligations. Hohn argues that the court erred in (1) failing to make findings of fact regarding the court's calculation of his bonus, regularly paid over a period of five years, as an "ongoing source" of gross income, pursuant to 19-A M.R.S. § 2001(5)(A) (2011); (2) calculating child care costs in the absence of testimonial or documentary evidence; and (3) finding that Hohn and Virginia (Hohn) Aldrich do not provide "substantially equal care" for the children, pursuant to 19-A M.R.S. § 2001(8-A).

Contrary to Hohn's contentions, the court made sufficient findings of fact regarding his bonus as an "ongoing source" of gross income. *See Maietta v. Town of Scarborough*, 2004 ME 97, ¶ 17, 854 A.2d 223. There is competent evidence in the record, including child support calculation affidavits, to support the court's finding of child care costs. *See Weston v. Weston*, 2012 ME 50, ¶ 11, 40 A.3d 934. The court did not err in finding that Hohn and Aldrich do not provide "substantially equal care" for the children. *See Holbrook v. Holbrook*, 2009 ME 80, ¶ 8, 976 A.2d 990.

		•
The	entry	1S:

Judgment affirmed.

On the briefs:

Dana E. Prescott, Esq., Prescott, Jamieson, Nelson & Murphy, LLC, Saco, for appellant Richard Hohn

Wendy Moulton Starkey, Esq., Rose Law, LLC, York, for appellee Virginia Aldrich

York District Court docket number FM-2007-24 For Clerk Reference Only