

RUTHIE C. JOY

v.

KEVIN M. JOY

Submitted on Briefs April 26, 2012
Decided May 1, 2012

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, LEVY, SILVER, MEAD,
GORMAN, and JABAR, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Kevin M. Joy appeals from the entry of a judgment in the District Court (Portland, *Goranites, J.*) denying Kevin’s motion for a modification of his spousal support obligation to Ruthie C. Joy, pursuant to 19-A M.R.S. § 951-A(4) (2011), and granting in part Ruthie’s motion to enforce the divorce judgment. Contrary to Kevin’s contentions, there is competent evidence to support the court’s factual findings, Kevin failed to meet his burden to establish a substantial change in circumstances to justify a decrease in spousal support, and the court did not abuse its discretion in denying a modification. *See Smith v. Rideout*, 2010 ME 69, ¶ 18, 1 A.3d 441; *Ellis v. Ellis*, 2008 ME 191, ¶ 11, 962 A.2d 328. Furthermore, the court did not err or abuse its discretion when it ordered Kevin to pay Ruthie for the conversion of sale proceeds of Ruthie’s personal property. *See Lewin v. Skehan*, 2012 ME 31, ¶ 26, 39 A.3d 58 (noting that a court may “enforce a property distribution by making adjustments to the mechanisms necessary for the distribution to occur”) (quotation marks omitted).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

On the briefs:

Kevin M. Joy, appellant pro se

Kenneth P. Altshuler, Esq., Childs, Rundlett, Fifield & Altshuler, LLC,
Portland, for appellee Ruthie C. Joy

Portland District Court docket number FM-2008-1263
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY