

JONATHAN SYMONDS

v.

MIKE LIMACHER

Submitted on Briefs November 28, 2012
Decided December 4, 2012

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, LEVY, MEAD, GORMAN, and
JABAR, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Michael Limacher appeals from a protection from abuse order entered in the District Court (Bangor, *Stitham, J.*) pursuant to 19-A M.R.S. § 4007 (2011) on a complaint filed by Jonathan Symonds on behalf of his twelve-year-old daughter. Contrary to Limacher's contention, the court did not clearly err by finding that Symonds's daughter was "a victim of [Limacher's] sexual assault *or* stalking," (emphasis added), because the record supports a finding that Limacher's actions constituted stalking. *See* 17-A M.R.S. § 210-A(1)(A)(1), (2)(A), (2)(D) (2011); *State v. Elliott*, 2010 ME 3, ¶ 37, 987 A.2d 513; *Preston v. Tracy*, 2008 ME 34, ¶ 10, 942 A.2d 718; *see also* Alexander, *Maine Appellate Practice*, § 416(e) at 231 (3d ed. 2008). Nor, contrary to Limacher's argument, did the court's explanation of process or description of the rules of evidence indicate a bias or prejudice against either self-represented party.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

On the briefs:

Michael Limacher, appellant pro se

Jonathan Symonds did not file a brief

Bangor District Court docket number PA-2012-150
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY