

KEITH HALPIN

v.

KRISTIN HALPIN

Argued November 7, 2012
Decided November 27, 2012

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, LEVY, SILVER, MEAD,
GORMAN, and JABAR, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Kristin Halpin appeals from a divorce judgment entered by the District Court (Rockland, *Field, J.*) dividing marital assets and debt and denying Ms. Halpin's request for spousal support. Contrary to Ms. Halpin's contentions, the court did not abuse its discretion in dividing the marital property and debt and by declining to award spousal support. *See Leary v. Leary*, 2007 ME 63, ¶ 9, 926 A.2d 186 (A court's division of marital property is reviewed for an abuse of discretion); *Payne v. Payne*, 2008 ME 35, ¶ 6, 942 A.2d 713 (A court's determination of spousal support is reviewed for an abuse of discretion). The divorce judgment and the findings contained in the court's order on Ms. Halpin's motion for reconsideration are "sufficient to inform the parties of the reasoning underlying its conclusions and to provide for effective appellate review." *See Bayley v. Bayley*, 602 A.2d 1152, 1153-54 (Me. 1992); *Catlett v. Catlett*, 2009 ME 49, ¶ 35, 970 A.2d 287 (finding that the court considered the relevant factors by looking at "[t]he judgment as a whole"); *Miele v. Miele*, 2003 ME 113, ¶ 11, 832 A.2d 760 ("[A] court is not required to detail the rationale it uses to reach each finding of fact or conclusion of law.").

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

On the briefs and at oral argument:

Sarah I. Gilbert, Esq., Elliott & MacLean, LLP, Camden, for appellant
Kristin Halpin

Eric B. Morse, Esq., Strout & Payson, P.A., Rockland, for appellee Keith
Halpin