

IN RE K.E. et al.

Submitted on Briefs October 10, 2012
Decided October 23, 2012

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, SILVER, MEAD, and JABAR, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

The parents of K.E. and C.E. appeal from a judgment of the District Court (Springvale, *Foster, J.*) terminating their parental rights pursuant to 22 M.R.S. § 4055(1)(B)(2) (2011). Contrary to the parents' contentions, the record supports the court's findings by clear and convincing evidence at least one ground of parental unfitness as to each parent and that termination was in the best interests of the children. *See In re Denise M.*, 670 A.2d 390, 392-93 (Me. 1996). Although the court found that the father undertook the reunification obligations created by the Department in good faith, the court did not err in finding him unable to take responsibility or to protect his children from jeopardy within a reasonable time calculated to meet their needs. 22 M.R.S. §§ 4055(1)(B)(2)(b)(ii)-(iii); *see In re Alana S.*, 2002 ME 126, ¶¶ 13, 22-23, 802 A.2d 976.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

On the briefs:

Mark J. Peltier, Esq., Fairfield and Associates, P.A., Lyman, for appellant mother

Roger M. Champagne, Esq., Champagne & Simpson, LLC, Biddeford, for appellant father

William J. Schneider, Attorney General, and Nora Sosnoff, Asst. Atty. Gen., Office of the Attorney General, Augusta, for appellee Department of Health and Human Services