

IN RE A. H. et al.

Submitted on Briefs September 27, 2012  
Decided October 23, 2012

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, LEVY, SILVER, MEAD,  
GORMAN, and JABAR, JJ.

#### MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

The parents of A.H., C.H., and J.H. appeal from a judgment of the District Court (Springvale, *Foster, J.*) terminating their parental rights pursuant to 22 M.R.S. § 4055(1)(B)(2) (2011). Contrary to the father's contentions, the court did not err in terminating his parental rights. Although the Department of Health and Human Services neglected to file a written reunification plan, the court found "the father was well aware of what services he was supposed to complete in order to rehabilitate and reunify," where those requirements were contained in three separate court orders. *In re Doris G.*, 2006 ME 142, ¶¶ 14-15, 912 A.2d 572; 22 M.R.S. § 4041(1-A)(A)(1) (2011). Contrary to the mother's contentions, the court did not err in finding that the Department made good faith efforts to follow the reunification plan. 22 M.R.S. § 4041(1-A)(A)(3) (2011); *see In re Higerá N.*, 2010 ME 77, ¶¶ 24-28, 2 A.3d 265. The record also supports the court's findings by clear and convincing evidence of at least one ground of parental unfitness as to each parent and that termination was in the best interests of the children. *See In re Denise M.*, 670 A.2d 390, 392-93 (Me. 1996).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

**On the briefs:**

Thomas P. Elias, Esq., Elias Law Offices, York, for appellant mother

Jack Hunt, Esq., Kennebunk, for appellant father

William J. Schneider, Attorney General, and Nora Sosnoff, Asst. Atty. Gen.,  
Office of the Attorney General, Augusta, for appellee Department of Health  
and Human Services