

STEVEN L. MOREHOUSE

v.

BRADFORD S. MACDONALD

Submitted on Briefs September 27, 2012
Decided October 4, 2012

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, LEVY, SILVER, GORMAN, and
JABAR, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Steven L. Morehouse appeals from a summary judgment entered in the Superior Court (Penobscot County, *Cuddy, J.*) in favor of his former attorney, Bradford S. Macdonald.

Contrary to Morehouse's contention, the court did not violate his right to due process by denying his request for court-appointed counsel, because there is no due process right to court-appointed counsel in a civil case where no fundamental right is implicated. *See* U.S. Const. amend. XIV, § 1; Me. Const. art. I, § 6-A; *see also Meyer v. Dep't of Human Servs.*, 414 A.2d 236, 238 (Me. 1980). Nor did the court err in granting summary judgment against Morehouse, because Morehouse did not support his statement of material facts with citations to the record, and therefore could not make a prima facie case for his legal malpractice claim. *See* M.R. Civ. P. 56(h)(4); *Lougee Conservancy v. CitiMortgage, Inc.*, 2012 ME 103, ¶ 12, 48 A.3d 774; *Quirion v. Geroux*, 2008 ME 41, ¶ 9, 942 A.2d 670.

The additional arguments raised by Morehouse in this appeal are without merit and require no further discussion.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

On the briefs:

Steven L. Morehouse, appellant pro se

Theodore H. Kirchner, Esq., Norman, Hanson & DeTroy, LLC, Portland, for
appellee Bradford S. MacDonald

Penobscot County Superior Court docket number CV-2011-31
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY