

IN RE E.T. et al.

Submitted on Briefs September 27, 2012  
Decided October 2, 2012

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, LEVY, SILVER, MEAD,  
GORMAN, and JABAR, JJ.

The mother of E.T. and E.T. appeals from a judgment of the District Court (Portland, *Powers, J.*) terminating her parental rights pursuant to 22 M.R.S. § 4055(1)(B)(2) (2011). On appeal, the mother asserts that she is continually improving and the evidence of her deficiencies as a parent is not sufficient to justify termination at this time. Evidence indicates that the mother's parenting skills have improved, but she is still unable to have unsupervised visits with her sons. Based on the mother's criminal history, mental illness diagnosis, recent angry outbursts, and the children's emotional need for permanency, the record supports the court's findings by clear and convincing evidence of at least one ground of parental unfitness and that termination of parental rights is in the children's best interest. *See In re Robert S.*, 2009 ME 18, ¶ 15, 966 A.2d 894.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

---

**On the briefs:**

Philip Notis, Esq., South Portland, for appellant mother

William J. Schneider, Attorney General, and Nora Sosnoff, Asst. Atty.  
Gen., for appellee Department of Health and Human Services