

BRENDA BROWN

v.

ROGER HABRLE

Submitted on Briefs June 30, 2011

Decided July 5, 2011

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, LEVY, SILVER, MEAD, and GORMAN, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Brenda Brown appeals from a judgment of the District Court (Houlton, *O'Mara, J.*) denying her motion to waive post-judgment interest pursuant to 14 M.R.S. § 1602-C(2) (2010). Contrary to Brown's contentions, the court did not abuse its discretion in holding that good cause had not been shown to waive the statutorily mandated interest. *See Carter v. Williams*, 2002 ME 50, ¶ 31, 792 A.2d 1093, 1101 (abuse of discretion standard); *Brown v. Habrle*, 2010 ME 72, ¶ 20, 1 A.3d 401, 406 (holding that 14 M.R.S. § 1602-C(2) requires the assessment of post-judgment interest in divorce cases, unless interest is waived for good cause or an interest obligation is established pursuant to 19-A M.R.S. § 953(1) (2010) in lieu of a post-judgment interest award).

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorney for Brenda R. Brown:

Richard L. Currier, Esq.
Currier & Trask, P.A.
55 North Street
Presque Isle, Maine 04769

Attorney for Roger L. Habrle:

Barbara A. Cardone, Esq.
Cardone & Winchell, LLP
88 Hammond Street, Suite 501
Bangor, Maine 04401

Houlton District Court docket number FM-2001-78
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY