

STATE OF MAINE

v.

JOHN M. ROHNER

Submitted on Briefs January 27, 2011

Decided February 8, 2011

Panel: ALEXANDER, LEVY, SILVER, MEAD, GORMAN, and JABAR, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

John M. Rohner appeals from a judgment of conviction of operating after suspension (Class E), 29-A M.R.S. § 2412-A(1-A)(D) (2010), entered in the Unified Criminal Docket (Cumberland County, *Crowley, J.*) following a jury trial. Because “the trier of fact rationally could have found beyond a reasonable doubt every element of the offense[] charged,” *State v. Severy*, 2010 ME 126, ¶ 8, 8 A.3d 715, 717 (quotation marks omitted), the court did not err in denying Rohner’s motion for judgment of acquittal. And, contrary to Rohner’s contention, the jury instructions given by the court fully and fairly informed the jury of the applicable law and resulted in no prejudice to Rohner. *See State v. Okie*, 2010 ME 6, ¶ 8, 987 A.2d 495, 497.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

Attorney for John Rohner:

James M. Mason, Esq.
PO Box 5218
Portland, Maine 04101

Attorneys for the State of Maine:

Stephanie Anderson, District Attorney
William J. Barry, Asst. Dist. Atty.
Prosecutorial District No. Two
142 Federal Street
Portland, Maine 04101

Cumberland County Unified Criminal Docket docket number CR-2010-1383
FOR CLERK REFERENCE ONLY