

SOPHIASOE S. MAUNG

v.

KYI N. MAUNG

Submitted on Briefs September 27, 2011
Decided October 11, 2011

Panel: SAUFLEY, C.J., and ALEXANDER, LEVY, SILVER, MEAD, and
JABAR, JJ.

MEMORANDUM OF DECISION

Sophiasoe S. Maung appeals from a divorce judgment entered in the District Court (Lewiston, *Driscoll, J.*) granting Kyi N. Maung sole parental rights and allocating to Sophiasoe one day of contact per week subject to the limitation that Kyi have sole decision-making authority, in consultation with the children’s therapists and counselors, to determine the terms and conditions of Sophiasoe’s visitation. Contrary to the Sophiasoe’s contentions, the court did not abuse its discretion in allocating Kyi sole parental rights and responsibilities. *See In re Alivia B.*, 2010 ME 112, ¶ 12, 8 A.3d 625 (“We review a court’s ultimate conclusion regarding the best interest of the child for abuse of discretion”); *Nadeau v. Nadeau*, 2008 ME 147, ¶ 35, 957 A.2d 108 (providing that the trial court is not bound to specifically address every best interest factor, “so long as it is otherwise evident that the court has evaluated the evidence with the best interest factors in mind”). Nor did the court abuse its discretion in allocating to Kyi sole decision-making authority, in consultation with the children’s counselors and therapists, to control the terms and conditions of Sophiasoe’s visitation. *See Knight v. Knight*, 680 A.2d 1035, 1037-38 (Me. 1996).

With respect to Sophiasoe's remaining claim, the court's division of the equity in the marital home was not an abuse of its discretion. *See Hess v. Hess*, 2007 ME 82, ¶ 15, 927 A.2d 391.

The entry is:

Judgment affirmed.

On the briefs:

Robert A. Levine, Esq., Portland, for appellant Sophiasoe S. Maung

Stephen J. Sucey, Esq., Lewiston, for appellee Kyi N. Maung